A STUDY OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION SCHEME AND STUDY ALONG WITH THE SOCIAL NETWORKS RESTRICTIONS

Mahboubeh Pourazimi
Research Department, Payame-noor University of Isfahan, **IRAN**
Email: Mhb.p.azimi@gmail.com

Mohammad Ahmadi
Iranian Ministry of Cooperatives, Labor and Social Welfare
Email: Ahmadi79.mo@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Administrations the world over progressively depend on social networks to develop public arrangement. Although these exertions are inspired by hopefulness that social networks policies have the capacity to organize more varied sections of the state, there is increasing worry that the utilization of these implements by administrations could strengthen current influence distinctions and make different tasks for addition, answerability, and social equality. To comprehend the capability of social networks to develop public assignation we require superior incorporation of knowledge and expertise investigations into public administration (PA) and social network study. By drawing from the knowledge and expertise investigations works, the PA scholars can assess the governmental and communal dissimilarities implanted in social network implements and notify specialists employ social network to efficiently employ the public.

Keywords: Public Administration, Social Network, Social Impressions, Governmental Impressions, Public Arrangement.

Opinions for Experts
- Experts ought to recognize that social networks are not "neutral" implements. They have governmental and public bias rooted in their strategy, operators get communal and governmental bias to their implementation and presentation, and consequences of their exploitation are inadequate.
- Administrations ought to distinguish the restrictions of social networks' platforms and employ them thoughtfully.
- Experts must question the methods definite social network means are exclusionary, control liability, and control independent consequences and offer different systems to avoid those boundaries.
- Investigation over the social network and public arrangement ought to assess the strategy and governmental character of social network policies, containing possession, repressive character, racism, and sexism by strategy. We should be present more strictly to the practical matters themselves than the social framework (Langdon Winner 1980, p. 135).

Social networks are those functions categorized by user-driven subject, accessible individuality making, and so the social networking occupations (Magro 2012). Conventional instances contain Facebook, Instagram, YouTube and Twitter. The PA works state the capability of social network to involve people in administration decision-making, improvement, and strategy employment (Sementelli and Zavatarro; 2014Mergel 2014). Much of the enthusiasm enveloping social network

is clarified by the comfort with which persons can employ social network to express their thoughts, cooperate with state bureaucrats in instantaneous, and build robust relations with administration (Ching and Hand 2011; Bertot et al. 2010). With these profits in attention, social networks possibly will evolve the excellence of independent authority by conducting in a period of more collaborating, clear, and counting on associations among the public and the government (Ayanso and Moyers 2012) and generating cooperative internet-based areas (Wachhaus 2017).

In fact, governments normally employ social networks for statistics distribution; however strive to utilize the means to involve in discourse with the community (Ching and Hand 2011; Gunawong 2015). The arising analysis is that social networks characterize the newest restatement of expertise overpromising in concept and under supplying in fact. Instead of changing relations among people and the government, social networks play to strengthen current influence variances and decrease the excellence of communication amid the government and the community (Stivers and Piccorelli, 2019). We maintain that administration employment of social network for civil appointment could be developed via progressing PA study away from its existing concentration over the role of framework and operators (Mergel 2013; Feeney and Fusi 2018) in the direction of a profounder perception of the governmental and communal preferences in skills themselves. According to the knowledge and technology investigations, we offer a serious valuation of the characteristic policies of technical articles and the structures that are considered into social network. Also, we explain how the government employment of confidentially possessed social networks that are mainly planned to promote or gather records can continue current communal, cultural, and authority discriminations, thus hindering and lessening from independent consequences. To direct active social network preparation, the PA study must develop its concentration over the structural capability, decision-making exertions, and use to recognizing the policies of technologies.

We initiate by debating the capacity of social network to develop civil appointment. We then plan several experiments that develop from working social network for public assignation. Thirdly, we define the central academic outlines operated by civil government scholars to abstract and assess supervision employment of social network. Afterward, we clarify how science and technology studies, which are commonly ignored by the PA researchers, can suggest understanding into the societal and governmental biases planned into technical objects. We suppose by inspiring public administrators to more wisely ponder the character of social networks after measuring their feasibility and suitability for public assignation.

Administration Employment of Social Networks to Develop Public Arrangement

Public arrangement implies "personal and cooperative arrangements intended to classify and meet problems of civic affair" and is serious to the implementation of independent organizations of government (Delli Carpini 2012). General instances of public arrangement contain joining in town hall conferences, taking part in elections, undertaking, and objecting. Typical knowledge proposes that by involving in such events people are able to impact on administration decision-making courses and cause more approachable community facility delivery (Fung 2006). Yet, these profits are tied in with significant cautions. For instance, public arrangement needs assets for example, cash, data and time, which could generate obstacles for potential contributors at the minor edge of the socio-economic scale (Stoll 2001). Consequently, contributions resulting from public arrangement could just signify the benefits of a limited, moderately rich cross-segment of the social

order that could meet the expense of the charges of contributing. Instead of altering the existing state of affairs, expenditures of involving might strengthen public and financial variations (Fiorina 1999). Social networks are supported as measures of affecting the expenditures of contribution and encouraging more comprehensive public arrangement through improving the extensiveness and complexity of communication (Wachhaus 2017; Shon, Porumbescu and Tai 2019). The case is that these programs shed restrictions amongst operators and creators (Wachhaus 2017) and minor outlays consequently activating traditionally diminished clusters to involve with administration in different, organized online and offline settings (Cantijoch and Gibson 2013). This is generally stated as the enlistment pattern (Kim and Lee 2019). Intensifying the group of persons involving with administration certifies that efforts to administration decisions and activities more carefully support with the significances of the people it rules. Others claim that the technology does not create appointment simple enough to affect current designs in contribution – although the possibility is around, it is not being comprehended (McNeal, Tolbert and Mossberger 2008). Instead of altering current designs in public appointment, the means authorities employ expertise strengthens them such that power of the rich develops. Instead of functioning as an instrument of alteration, technology reinforces the communal existing state of affairs and supplementary preferences decision-making courses and administration act to help the benefits of some without regard for others. This is stated as the strengthening paradigm of public arrangement.

However managements the world over are progressively employing social networks to empower more people and change present influence arrangements, there is assorted suggestion that they have been fruitful. There is rising analysis of administrations for not doing adequate to influence the possibility of these presumably transformative means (Bonsón et al. 2012), mark that administrations employ internet-based media to drive out data instead of involving (Sementelli and Zavattaro 2014; Ching and Hand 2011), and that internet-based connection reduces the open space (Zingale 2014; Stivers and Piccorelli 2019). These analyses classify particular original experiments meeting administrations in their exertions to employ social networks aimed at public arrangement. Experiments for administrations employing social networks to improve public arrangement. Administrations challenge tasks when employing social networks to support public arrangement, containing involvement, liability, and equality. These tasks shouldn't signify an in-depth record, but instead signify a basis for specialists and experts since they assess suggestions of social networks.

Involvement

The major task administrations meet is employing social networks to develop involvement. Although social networks uses are "at no cost" to download, they need a machine, for instance, computers and smart phones. These unforeseen charges promote the digital division, creating social networks for example, Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. They are planned to assist prearranged groups with means to join and exchange definite sorts of statistics. Since social networks are exclusive, this is insignificant that operators could organize to adjust means limits, develop functionality, or change programs to involve more descriptive sections of people. Wachhaus (2017) states that free programs could upsurge contribution and flexibility, and influence public authority; however, these programs are private and made in conducts that are not constantly favorable to governmental and public involvement. Employing social networks to increase public arrangement would familiarize severe organizational biases by reducing

management responsible just to the people sections social network creators' objective or the program's user group. There are plain demographic variances through social networking programs. For instance, only twenty-nine percent of the American log on the Twitter with seventy percent who are under 29 years old however Facebook is more progressively employs through all the age groups (Chen 2020). Profound dependence on social network for public arrangement implicitly limits contribution based upon digital groundwork, contact strategy and operator ability.

Liability

Another task which involves liability associated with the influence and results of social networks concentrated public arrangement exertions. Because of the production of bots and made-up operators from corner to corner of social networking programs containing Facebook, Twitter and WhatsApp, administrations strive to confirm user character, stop annoyance, and struggle distortion. Signals reveal that a non-minor amount of social networks accounts are from industrial unit functioned by distant performers and privileged attention clusters trying to impact governmental consequences and public discourse in an assumed environmental group. In one recognized instance, Cambridge Analytica bought particular data from over eighty-seven million users of Facebook and employed it to employ voter conduct and impact on the 2016 election (Persily 2017). A social network such as Facebook recognized the issue however couldn't inform establishments. Additional famous instances contain Russian interfering in the 2016 United Sates election and the strategy of Yelp analyses for cafes next disgraces.

Equality

The third task is associated with administration's aptitude to employ social networking implements to progress democratic results. Privately preserved and functioned social networks are vigorously trying to form social performance. Together, social networking designers and possessors define what subject is permitted, limited, or disqualified, how a program and its information are utilized, and who logs on the records. Society associates who desire to employ social networks to access the government and vice versa need little capability to determine or control the assembly. Furthermore, administration social networks' accounts are preserved unchanged as any further account and go together with no particular privileges or rights.

Administrations require the ownership, power, and right to use desired to classify operators, observer records, and avoid exploitation, all of which is essential to influence social networking programs in methods that are generally useful. For instance, WhatsApp and Snapchat's 'self-destructing message' aspect makes such programs widely held with social dealers since governments are not able to categorize operators or recover interactions and data. Consequently, public arrangement through privately preserved social networks, which frequently function in autocratic conducts, cause it to be challenging for administrations and the ones they assist to clearly and successfully control and employ social networks to progress democratic consequences. Although administrations encounter tasks associated with involvement, liability, and equality in their employment of social networks for public arrangement, PA researchers mainly utilize sociotechnical bases aimed at better understanding of social network employment and results. This sociotechnical concentration creates results and suggestion frequently made on operator views, abilities, and administrative care. These outlines do not make up the governmental and communal

environment of social networks and the means they represent and strengthen imbalanced communal and governmental control assemblies.

Principal Hypothetical Outlooks Over the Social Networks in PA

The PA frequently employs sociotechnical contexts to recognize how individuals and expertise work together in workrooms and establishments (Lee and Gao 2017; Welch and Oliveira 2013). These multifaceted connections clarify the implementation, utilization, and completion of know-hows (Zhao, Wang, Lu and Wan 2017; Appelbaum 1997). Frequent sociotechnical methods employed to consider social network applications in administration contain the TAM (Technology Acceptance Model) (Warshaw, Bagozzi, Davis and 1989), technical affordance model, and the TEF (Technology Enactment Framework) (Fountain 2001).

The TAM undertakes operators' outlooks, favorites and potentials once a person accept as true which they could employ to accomplish a specific goal. For instance, an establishment may create a Facebook account to receive reaction against people. Like Technology Acceptance Model, technology affordance model claims that, since an operator cooperates with equipment, they will realize the structures of equipment and what they can employ the equipment for (Pozzi 2014; Gaver 1991). This model expresses a technology's structures and the expertise of the operator joined the employment of a specific part of knowledge. For instance, a local government might firstly use Facebook to acquire communal contribution over the employment of observations, however then determine a quality that permits operators to manage surveys or hold occasions. The skill's efficiency is controlled by the operators' proficiency. The Technology Enactment Framework is formed upon the further two paradigms by making up setting. Maintaining neutral know-hows once passed by operators and official engagements that change their practices. The associations of utilizing a certain skill are not only the consequence of operator goal and ability, but also the organized and structural setting wherein the skill is employed.

Sociotechnical outlook maintains the impacts of social networks over the public arrangement could be qualified to framework and operators, handling the technology by means of flexible and governmentally and publicly neutral. From this standpoint, if the consequences of social networks employment were unbalanced, they would be an affair of operator inaccuracy. Once administrations employ social networks in methods that don't encourage involvement, liability, and equality they are making mistakes. To answer, there has been a variety of investigation struggling to comprehend steps administrations could take to utilize social networks to activate more assorted sections of the people (Porumbescu and Lee 2019), amplify community inspiration in administration decision making courses (Mergel 2015; Grimes, Jaeger and Bertot 2010), and to more commonly develop the excellence of equality (Ching and Hand 2011; Kent 2013). However, supposing the issue is not merely one of the operator objectives and breakdowns, but essential to the equipment themselves.

Investigation based upon sociotechnical outlines begins from the weak supposition that social networks (mainly planned for and supported by marketing) could be employed to attain goals for those were not planned (for instance civil arrangement). Certainly, social networks are not planned with structures to increase civic profit, but the PA study does not question the expertise itself. For instance, Ching and Hand (2011) examined the city Facebook and took note of the illogicality that

cities carry on to send and drive out data on a program "intended to inspire speaking-to-power". They stated the Facebook as a program for people to confront the government, once it really was planned to regard women's beauty and changed into a records gathering and following program for making advertisement income. The amount to which administrations could improve policies to activate people instead of strengthening current designs of public arrangement supports on a sensible recognizing of the governmental and public spirit of social networks themselves. For the PA studies to subscribe to this exertion, we must accept hypothetical outlines that deliver a better consideration of how the governmental and public disposition essential in social networks strengthen keeping out and a shortage of responsibility and equality.

Social Impression

Social impression denotes mechanisms of technology that spread current community influence changing aspects (cultural, sexual characteristics, revenue difference). The social impression surrounded in technology causes from a comparatively unchanging set of viewpoints that notify their strategy. The technology trade is neither varied nor typical of the community in general. Since it considers the standpoints of people and creators, STS researchers state that the obvious and implied social impression that creators obtain into machineries are frequently a picture of opportunity (Perez 2019; Noble 2018; Eubanks 2018). This fact is particularly affecting once it adds up to social networking corporations for example Twitter or Facebook where approximately 15 to 18 percent of practical workforces are females and about 1% is related to black people and 3% is related to the Latin (Molla 2018).

Since social impression is intended into expertise, it is hard to get rid of. Stienstra, Neven and Oudshoorn (2016) stated once a similar set of creators try to make up varied viewpoints and decrease social impression in technology plan, the subsequent scientific object persists naturally partial all for existing influence differences associated with gender and race. The associations of social impression in technology have made the face with investigation over the processes and non-natural aptitude, which reveals that technical implements are repeatedly powerless of scheming estimates that do not reveal current systems of social impression and institutional bias. For instance, study discovers that black females are incorrectly marked more often than any further demographic assembly in some places such as airport body scanners (ProPublica 2019), procedures employing normal language treating to identify racially prejudiced or vicious speaking over the social networks excessively target speeches created by African Americans (Ghaffary 2019). The social impression caused social networking measures of people will gain from administration's employment of social networks to improve public arrangement, although others will be accepted.

Governmental impression

Governmental impression similarly impacts the improvement and plan of technology (Winner 1993). Governmental impression denotes the autocratic or independent spirit of the know-how, for example its flexibility. Technologies could be more inflexible and governmentally subjective (autocratic) or more flexible and less politically biased (independent). Technologies which are more dictatorial maintain a public influence difference once passed, while more independent technologies could be altered by operators to add special objectives and to alleviate authority

differences. Public basis software is a system of independent technology. Operators make, distribute, and store subject without charge, developing the comprehensive expansion of the expertise and its routines through characteristics and kind of operator, from amateur operators to more high-level ones. Open basis program improvement qualifies operators to struggle influential racial discrimination and misogyny rooted in the program. Closed basis software is a sample of dictatorial expertise that underlines authority differences. Through closed basis software, the basis program is protected and operators' skill to improve the knowledge to address the particular desires of various assemblies further than those predicted by the inventors, is regulated and persists closely controlled by the software's licensors. Common, structural, or influential features are not expressively able to modify the governmental spirit of a dictatorial implement – dictatorial technologies would not be hacked into for independent reasons.

Public and administrative impressions are different, however organized. For instance, technology possibly will include an advanced level of public impression because it is designed with a particular user in mind, however all together be planned with a lower level of governmental impression as operators could operate it to enable a wider section of the people. Otherwise, the originator of the similar category of technology may well have (deliberately or accidentally) planned it with an advanced level of governmental impression, thus creating it, is challenging for operators to resolve the social impression surrounded in the equipment. The social networks employed by governments are privately-owned and consequently governmentally unfair technologies. The structures of social networking implements cause tough restrictions over the administration exertions to activate for state consequences – and in numerous circumstances strengthen variations. The STS collected works makes us consider methodically as for how know-hows are indirectly or openly planned maintaining current methods of inequality (Perez 2019; Noble 2018; Eubanks 2018).

Unluckily, the PA study regularly supervises the STS collected works once abstracting the association concerning administration's employment of social networks and public arrangement. An STS method would influence criticizing the operators to measuring the tool's governmental and societal spirit that avoids it from being a structure for speaking. Correspondingly, Villodre and Criado (2020) examined European local supervisions employing Twitter to offer data, act together with people, and bring civic amenities. They concentrated over the operators and active tools usage; an STS method would investigate the societal and governmental authority arrangements made into and improved by the Twitter, and the longstanding outcomes of utilizing a confidential, intermediary program as the foundation of national arrangement and civic amenity distribution. STS could help the PA investigators be too grown-up for sociotechnical methods to explore the governmental and communal spirit of social networking technologies and their parameters for progressing involvement, liability, and equality.

Future work

How could administrations efficiently employ and accomplish these progressively general confidentially possessed and functioned social networks for public arrangement? And how could the PA study better notify experts? The answer is "since administrations consider social networks as imperfect implements in a wider range of approaches utilized to involve with the community. Zingale (2013) suggested that administrators identify the humanistic borders of social networking

implements – once we get online, we quit further methods of interaction. We expand this request, observing the necessity to concentrate over the governmental and public sprit of implements, the limits of exclusive programs, and the risks of employing implements planned for data-powered marketing revenue for public arrangement. The platforms neither lead to an age of independent authority, nor will they advance the defeat of liberal-democratic systems. The platforms are not unbiased implements focus just on operator and governmental letdown or chance. Technologies exemplify government and authority. Owing to their essential governmental and public impression, social networks ought to be utilized sensibly and for limited reasons, for instance, taking the statistics (Brainard and Zavattaro 2019). Finally, administrations need to accept a more serious outlook while assessing social networking requests, measuring the public and governmental sprit of every single implement and following limits. Yet, with the finest administrative purposes and operator ability, social networks remain controlled by their personal, public and governmental sprit.

REFERENCES

Appelbaum Steven, H. 1997. Socio-technical systems theory: An intervention strategy for organizational development. Management Decision 35(6), 452-463. doi:10.1108/00251749710173823

Bonsón, Enrique, Torres, Lourdes, Royo, Sonia, and Flores, Francisco. 2012. Local egovernment 2.0: Social media and corporate transparency in municipalities. Government Information Quarterly 29(2), 123-132.

Criado, J. Ignacio, and Villodre, Julian. 2020. Delivering public services through social media in European local governments. An interpretative framework using semantic algorithms. Local Government Studies 1-23.

Delli Carpini, M. "Civic Engagement." April 20, 2020. American Psychological Association. https://www.apa.org/education/undergrad/civic-engagement

Fiorina, Morris P. 1999. Extreme voices: A dark side of civic engagement. In Theda Skocpol and M. P. Fiorina (Eds.), Civic engagement in American democracy. Washington, DC: Brooking Institution Press

Fung, Archon. 2006. Varieties of participation in complex governance. Public Administration Review 66, 66-75.

Gao, Xian, and Lee, Jooho. (2017). E-government services and social media adoption: Experience of small local governments in Nebraska state. Government Information Quarterly 34(4), 627-634.

Gibson, Rachel, and Cantijoch, Marta. (2013). Conceptualizing and measuring participation in the age of the Internet: Is online political engagement really different to offline? The Journal of Politics 75(3), 701-716.

Gunawong, Panom. (2015). Open government and social media: A focus on transparency. Social Science Computer Review 33(5), 587-598.

Kent, Michael L. 2013. Using social media dialogically: Public relations role in reviving democracy. Public Relations Review 39(4), 337-345.

Lee, Jae Bok, and Porumbescu, Gregory A. 2019. Engendering inclusive e-government use through citizen it training programs. Government Information Quarterly 36(1), 69-76.

Mergel, Ines. 2013. Social media adoption and resulting tactics in the u.S. Federal government. Government Information Quarterly 30(2), 123-130.

Molla, Rani. 2018, April 11. How Facebook compares to other tech companies in diversity. Vox. Retrieved from https://www.vox.com/2018/4/11/17225574/facebook-tech-diversity-women

Oliveira, Gustavo H. M., and Welch, Eric W. 2013. Social media use in local government: Linkage of technology, task, and organizational context. Government Information Quarterly 30(4), 397-405.

Persily, Nathaniel. 2017. The 2016 U.S. Election: Can democracy survive the Internet? Journal of Democracy 28(2), 63-76.

Pozzi, Giulia, Pigni, Federico, and Vitari, Claudio. 2014 August 7-9. Affordance theory in the discipline: A review and synthesis of the literature. Paper presented at the Americas Conference on Information Systems (AMCIS), Savannah, GA.

Stoll, Michael A. 2001. Race, neighborhood poverty, and participation in voluntary associations. Sociological Forum 16(3), 529-557.

Tolbert, Carolyn J., Mossberger, Karen, and McNeal, Ramona. (2008). Institutions, policy innovation, and e-government in the American states. Public Administration Review 68(3), 549-563.

Wan, Jinlin, Lu, Yaobin, Wang, Bin, and Zhao, Ling. (2017). How attachment influences users' willingness to donate to content creators in social media: A socio-technical systems perspective. Information & Management 54(7), 837-850.

Winner, Langdon. 1993. Upon opening the black box and finding it empty: Social constructivism and the philosophy of technology. Science, Technology, & Human Values 18(3), 362-378.

Zavattaro, Staci M., and Sementelli, Arthur J. (2014). A critical examination of social media adoption in government: Introducing omnipresence. Government Information Quarterly 31(2), 257-264.

Ayanso, Anteneh, and Moyers, Darryl. 2012. The role of social media in the public sector: Opportunities and challenges Citizen 2.0: Public and governmental interaction through web 2.0 technologies (pp. 1-22). Hershey, PA, USA: IGI Global.

Bertot, John. C., Jaeger, Paul T., and Grimes, Justin M. 2010. Using ICTs to create a culture of transparency: E-government and social media as openness and anticorruption tools for societies. Government Information Quarterly 27(3), 264-271.

Chen, Jenn. 2020. Social demographics to inform your brand's strategy in 2020. Sprout Social Blog. January 15. Retrieved Feb 10, 2020 from https://sproutsocial.com/insights/new-social-media-demographics/

Davis, Fred D., Bagozzi, Richard P., and Warshaw, Paul R. 1989. User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. Management Science 35(8), 982-1003.

Eubanks, Virginia. 2018. Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor (First edition. ed.). New York, NY: St. Martin's Press.

Fountain, Jane E. 2001. Building the virtual state: Information technology and institutional change. Washington, D.C: Brookings Institution Press.

Fusi, Federica, and Feeney, Mary K. 2018. Social media in the workplace: Information exchange, productivity, or waste? The American Review of Public Administration 48(5), 395-412.

Gaver, William W. (1991). Technology affordances Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems (pp. 79–84). New York: Association for Computing Machinery.

Ghaffary, Shirin. 2019. The algorithms that detect hate speech online are biased against black people. August 15. Vox. Accessed November 15, 2019 from

https://www.vox.com/recode/2019/8/15/20806384/social-media-hate-speech-biasblack-african-american-facebook-twitter.

Hand, Laura C., and Ching, Brandom D. (2011). "You Have One Friend Request" An Exploration of Power and Citizen Engagement in Local Governments' Use of Social Media. Administrative Theory & Praxis 33(3), 362-382.

Lee, Joohe, and Kim, Soonhee. 2018. Citizens' e-participation on agenda setting in local governance: Do individual social capital and e-participation management matter? Public Management Review 20(6), 873-895.

Magro, Michael. 2012. A review of social media use in e-government. Administrative Sciences 2, 148-161.

Mergel, Ines. 2015. Designing social media strategies and policies. Handbook of public administration, 3rd Edition, Editors Robert K. Christensen, James L. Perry : 456-468.

Noble, Sofia U. 2018. Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism. New York: New York University Press.

Oudshoorn, Nelly, Neven, Louis, and Stienstra, Marcelle. 2016. How diversity gets lost: Age and gender in design practices of information and communication technologies. Journal of Women and Aging 28(2), 170-185.

Piccorelli, Justin T., and Stivers, Camilla. 2019. Exiled to Main Street: How government's use of social media diminishes public space. Journal of Public Affairs 19(4), e1955.

ProPublica (2019, April 17). TSA Agents Say They're Not Discriminating Against Black Women, But Their Body Scanners Might Be. ProPublica. Retrieved from: https://www.propublica.org/article/tsa-not-discriminating-against-black-womenbut-   their-body-scanners-might-be

Tai, Kuang-Ting, Porumbescu, Gregory, and Shon, Jongsin. 2020. Can e-participation stimulate offline citizen participation: An empirical test with practical implications? Public Management Review 22(2), 278-296.

Wachhaus, Aaron. (2017) Platform governance: Developing collaborative democracy. Administrative Theory & Praxis 39(3), 206-221.

Winner, Langdon. 1980. Do artifacts have politics? Daedalus 109(1), 121-136.

Zavattaro, Staci M., and Brainard, Lori A. 2019. Social media as micro-encounters: Millennial preferences as moderators of digital public value creation. International Journal of Public Sector Management 32(5), 562-580. doi:10.1108/IJPSM-02-2018-0059

Zingale, Nicholas C. 2013. The phenomenology of sharing: Social media networking, asserting, and telling. Journal of Public Affairs 13(3), 288-297.